

REPORT

Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer Stack Testing - Odour VOC and Neopentyl Glycol

AkzoNobel Sunshine North

Submitted to:

AkzoNobel Pty Ltd

51 McIntyre Rd Sunshine North Victoria 3020

Submitted by:

Golder Associates Pty Ltd

Building 7, Botanicca Corporate Park, 570 – 588 Swan Street, Richmond, Victoria 3121, Australia

+61 3 8862 3500

19130795-051-R-Rev0

April 2022



Record of Issue

Company	Client Contact	Version	Date Issued	Delivery Method	Amendment
AkzoNobel Pty Ltd.	David Grubits	Rev0	5/04/2022	Electronic	Original

Distribution List

1x AkzoNobel Pty Ltd

1x Golder Associates Pty Ltd



Table of Contents

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1
2.0	TEST	METHODS	1
	2.1	Exhaust gas velocity	1
	2.2	Exhaust gas temperature	2
	2.3	Exhaust gas moisture content	2
	2.4	Odour/Dynamic Olfactometry	2
	2.5	Neopentyl Glycol	2
	2.6	VOCs	3
3.0	RESU	JLTS	3
4.0	DISC	USSION	8
5.0	IMPO	RTANT INFORMATION	9

TABLES

Table 1: Emission Results – RTO Inlet	3
Table 2: Emission Results – RTO Outlet	6
Table 3: Stack emission monitoring - Summary of results	8

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Limitations



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Golder Associates Pty Ltd (Golder) was commissioned by AkzoNobel Pty Ltd (AkzoNobel) to conduct a stack emission monitoring programme at the AkzoNobel site located at 51 McIntyre, Sunshine North (the site). The purpose of the emissions monitoring programme was to assess odour, neopentyl glycol and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emissions to air from the regenerative thermal oxidiser (RTO) recently commissioned to service the resin plant.

The assessment has been conducted in response to a Clean Up Notice (CUN No. 90011933) and Pollution Abatement Notice (PAN No. 90011934) issued to AkzoNobel by the Environment Protection Authority (EPA VIC) issued on 23 April 2021.

The sampling of the RTO was conducted on 18 March 2022 with duplicate odour, neopentyl glycol and VOC samples taken from the inlet and outlet of the RTO system. Golder was informed by AkzoNobel that sampling occurred during worst case operating conditions (i.e. maximum capacity). The following parameters were measured for each source:

- Exhaust gas velocity, flowrate and moisture content
- Odour concentration and rate of emission.
- Neopentyl glycol concentration and rate of emission.
- VOC concentration and rate of emission.

Odour analysis was conducted at the Air Quality and Noise Laboratory at Golder Associates, Richmond (NATA accreditation No. 1910).

Neopentyl glycol and VOC analysis was conducted by SGS, Notting Hill (NATA Laboratory Accreditation No. 2562).

The following report describes the test methods used and the results obtained from the monitoring programme.

2.0 TEST METHODS

2.1 Exhaust gas velocity

For stack emissions, velocity profiles were obtained across the flue utilizing an L-type pitot static tube and a TSI DP-Calc micromanometer.

Positions for velocity pressure measurement were determined to be at the centre of equal areas over the cross section of the sampling plane.

The micromanometer was calibrated against reference manometer 7970 (NATA Calibration Report No. A35450PA - 01/03/2020). Manometer readings were corrected in accordance with the test results.

The test methods used were in accordance with Golder Source Test Method V2, "Velocity and Volume Flowrate: For Source Emissions".

When sampling plane conditions comply with the requirements of Australian Standard AS 4323.1 – 1995, "Stationary Source Emissions: Method 1: Selection of Sampling Positions", a conservative estimate of the measurement uncertainty involved in the determination of exhaust gas average velocity with a pitot tube and micromanometer is \pm 5% (for velocities greater than 5 m/sec). At lower velocities the uncertainty is substantially increased.



2.2 Exhaust gas temperature

Exhaust gas temperature was determined using an electronic thermometer equipped with a chromel/alumel thermocouple. The thermometer was calibrated against AMA mercury in glass thermometer 526.10938 (NATA Calibration Report No. NT200434.02 – 18/08/2020).

2.3 Exhaust gas moisture content

Moisture content was determined by wet and dry bulb psychometry, in accordance with Golder Source Test Method M5, *"Moisture Content"*. This Golder Source Test Method has a basis in the following U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method; 4, *"Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases."*

2.4 Odour/Dynamic Olfactometry

Samples were taken in accordance with Golder Source Test Method B1, "Bag Sampling in Ambient Air and Source Emissions".

Samples were obtained by creating a vacuum within a rigid plastic drum, which draws in the sample gas at a through a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube and into a Nalophan sample bag, sealed with a stainless-steel plug. The analysis conducted was in accordance with the following standards, methodology and guidelines:

- Australian Standard AS/NZS 4323.3 "Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry"
- Golder Associates Source Test Method No. O4, "Odour (Dynamic Olfactometry) In Ambient Air and Source Emissions"
- EPA VIC Publication 1666.1 "Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry".

Using a series of calibrated mass flow controllers, the Nalophan bag of sample was dynamically diluted to various concentrations with dry odour free air and passed through a mixing chamber.

The diluted sample was then presented to a panel of up to six people where their individual odour threshold was recorded. The odour level is determined from the geometric mean of the individual panellist odour threshold estimates, multiplied by the sample pre dilution factor.

All items of equipment in contact with the sample, or diluted sample, were constructed from PTFE, stainless steel or glass to prevent contamination.

The accepted range for a known reference material (n-butanol) of panel detection threshold concentration is between 20 – 80 parts per billion by volume (ppb).

2.5 Neopentyl Glycol

A sample of stack gas was drawn through a XAD-7 OVS sorbent cartridge. The inlet of the sampling cartridge was located at approximately a quarter of the flue diameter from the wall.

Sample volume was determined by placing a calibrated critical orifice in the sample train. The critical orifice was calibrated using a transfer standard flowmeter. Sorbent tubes consist of sample and control section. Sections were analysed individually to determine if there had been significant break-through from the sample section.

The sorbent cartridge was analysed using Gas Chromatography (GC) and conducted by SGS (not covered by NATA accreditation).

Samples were taken in accordance with Golder Source Test A13 "Adsorbent Tube Sampling: Volatile Organic compounds in source emissions". The test method used was based on the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Method 5523 "Glycols".



2.6 VOCs

A sample of stack gas was drawn through an activated carbon cartridge. The inlet of the sampling cartridge was located at approximately a quarter of the flue diameter from the wall.

Sample volume was determined by placing a calibrated critical orifice in the sample train. The critical orifice was calibrated using a transfer standard flowmeter. Sorbent tubes consist of sample and control section. Sections were analysed individually to determine if there had been significant break-through from the sample section.

The sorbent cartridge was analysed using Gas Chromatography (GC) and conducted by SGS, NATA Laboratory Accreditation No. 2562.

Samples were taken in accordance with Golder Source Test A13 "Adsorbent Tube Sampling: Volatile Organic compounds in source emissions".

3.0 RESULTS

Results of the stack emission monitoring programme are presented in Table 1 and Table 2. A summary of results is presented in Table 3.

Sampling Details	
Company	AkzoNobel, 51 McIntyre, Sunshine North, 3020 VIC
Sample Date	18 March 2022
Location	Resin Plant – RTO Inlet
Process Conditions	Worst case operating conditions
Sampling Plane Description	One access port, >10 diameters downstream from a bend and <1 diameter upstream from a bend.
Sampling Plane Compliance	Not compliant with the dimensional requirements of Australian Standard AS 4323.1 <i>"Method 1: Selection of Sampling Positions".</i> Additional sampling points were conducted, and the velocity/temperature traverse results indicate compliance with exhaust gas requirements $a - e$. Therefore, the sampling plane is classified as non-ideal.
Testing Officers	Dearne Cook.
Test Conditions	
Stack dimensions (mm)	550 (diam)
Av. stack gas temperature (°C)	22
Barometric pressure (kPa)	101.7
Duct static pressure (kPag)	-0.438
Average velocity (m/s) ^A	7.6
Actual gas flowrate (m³/min) ^A	110
Gas flowrate at S.T.P. (Nm³/min)	100
Dry gas flowrate at S.T.P. (Nm³/min)	98
% H ₂ O v/v	1.9

Table 1: Emission Results – RTO Inlet



O ₂ (%v/v)	21		
AS 4323.1 Compliance	•		
Requirements	Criteria	Sampling Plane	Status
Distance from downstream disturbance	2 D min.	< 1 D	x
Distance from upstream disturbance	6 D min.	> 6 D	4
Flow direction at all points	Same direction	Same direction	✓
Velocity at all points	> 3 m/s at all points	> 3 m/s at all points	~
Cyclonic component	< 15°	< 15°	1
Difference between points	< 10% absolute temperature	< 10% absolute temperature	1
Difference between mean and points	< 10% absolute temperature	< 10% absolute temperature	4
Highest to lowest pitot pressure	< 9 : 1	< 9 : 1	4
Highest to lowest gas velocity	< 3 : 1	< 3 : 1	4
Gas temperature	> dew point	> dew point	4
Overall Classification			Non-Ideal
Test Results – RTO Inlet			
Odour			
Sample number		22-488	22-489
Sample period (hours)		9:54 – 10:06	10:18 – 10:26
Analysis date		18/03/2022	18/03/2022
Odour laboratory temperature (°C)		22	22
n-butanol panel threshold (ppb) ^B		39	39
Pre-dilution factor		1	1
Sample holding time		4 hours	5 hours
Odour character		Sickly sweet	Sickly sweet
Concentration (ou) ^c		5 600	15 000
Mass rate (ou.m ³ /min)		560 000	1 500 000
Average mass rate (ou.m³/min)			1 000 000
Neopentyl Glycol			
Sample number		22-492	22-493
Sample period (hours)		11:27 – 11:57	12:05 – 12:35
Analysis date		22/03/2022	22/03/2022
Concentration (mg/m ³) ^D		0.42	0.78
Mass rate (g/min)		0.041	0.077



Average mass rate (g/min)	0.059			
VOCs	·			
Sample number	22-490		22-491	
Sample period (hours)	11:27 – 11:57		12:05 – 12:35	
Analysis date	24/03/2022		24/03/2022	
Compound	Concentration (mg/m ³)	Mass rate (g/min)	Concentration (mg/m ³)	Mass rate (g/min)
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene ^E	3.2	0.31	11	1.1
1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene ^E	1.5	0.14	5.0	0.49
Ethylbenzene ^E	0.66	0.065	6.7	0.65
Isopropyl benzene E	0.40	0.039	1.2	0.12
n-Propylbenzene ^E	1.2	0.12	3.9	0.39
Toluene ^E	0.26	0.026	0.67	0.065
m, p-Xylene ^E	3.7	0.36	29	2.9
o-Xylene ^E	2.8	0.27	11	1.0

Notes

 A - Actual gas flowrate and velocity at stack gas temperature and pressure
B - Panel n-butanol detection threshold concentration in parts per billion by volume (ppb). Certified reference material n-butanol 61.6 ppm (Cylinder No. 438266; certificate date: 17/08/2021)

C - Wet gas basis.

D - Sample analyses conducted by SGS Australia Pty Ltd. Not covered by NATA accreditation. Report No. ME325839 E - Sample analyses conducted by SGS Australia Pty Ltd. NATA accreditation no.2562. Report No. ME325839 R0

Deviations from AS/NZS 4323.3: 2001 "Stationary Source Emissions - Part 3: Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry": Nil.



Table 2: Emission Results – RTO Outlet

Sampling Details					
Company	AkzoNobel, 51 McInt	tyre, Sunshine North, 3020 \	/IC		
Sample Date	18 March 2022				
Location	Resin Plant – RTO C	Dutlet			
Process Conditions	Worst case operating	g conditions			
Sampling Plane Description	Two access ports, > an exit point.	6 diameters downstream fro	m a fan and > 2 diameters upstream from		
Sampling Plane Compliance	"Method 1: Selection the velocity profile in temperature and the	of Sampling Positions". Ad dicates the temperature diffe	of Australian Standard AS 4323.1 ditional sampling points were conducted, erence between points is > 10% absolute veen points and mean is > 10% absolute ssified as non-compliant.		
Testing Officers	Florence Damour				
Test Conditions					
Stack dimensions (mm)	430 (diam)				
Av. stack gas temperature (°C)	88				
Barometric pressure (kPa)	102.1				
Duct static pressure (kPag)	0.12				
Average velocity (m/s) ^A	15				
Actual gas flowrate (m³/min) ^A	130				
Gas flowrate at S.T.P. (Nm ³ /min)	100				
Dry gas flowrate at S.T.P. (Nm³/min)	98				
% H ₂ O v/v	2.5				
O ₂ (%v/v)	21				
AS 4323.1 Compliance					
Requirements	Criteria	Sampling Plane	Status		
Distance from downstream disturbance	2 D min.	> 2 D	√		
Distance from upstream disturbance	6 D min.	> 6 D	✓		
Flow direction at all points	Same direction	Same direction	√		
Velocity at all points	> 3 m/s at all points	> 3 m/s at all points	1		
Cyclonic component	< 15°	< 15°	✓		
Difference between points	< 10% absolute temperature	< 10% absolute temperature	x		
Difference between mean and points	< 10% absolute temperature	< 10% absolute temperature	X		
Highest to lowest pitot pressure	< 9 : 1	< 9 : 1	✓		
Highest to lowest gas velocity	< 3 : 1	< 3 : 1	√		



Gas temperature	> dew point	> dew poir	ıt	✓		
Overall Classification				Non-Ideal		
Test Results – RTO Outlet		ł				
Odour						
Sample number		22-494		22-495		
Sample period (hours)		9:56 - 10:06			10:17 – 10:27	
Analysis date		18/03/2022			18/03/2022	
Odour laboratory temperature (°C)		21			20	
n-butanol panel threshold (ppb) ^B		38.6			38.6	
Pre-dilution factor		1			1	
Sample holding time		5 hours			5 hours	
Odour character		Sickly sweet			Sickly sweet	
Concentration (ou) ^c		2000			1300	
Mass rate (ou.m³/min)		210 000			130 000	
Average mass rate (ou.m ³ /min)		140 000				
Neopentyl Glycol						
Sample number		22-500		22-501		
Sample period (hours)		11:26 – 11:56		12:02 – 12:32		
Analysis date		24/03/2022		24/03/2022		
Concentration (mg/m ³) ^D		<0.02		<0.02		
Mass rate (g/min)		<0.002		<0.002		
Average mass rate (g/min)		<0.002				
VOCs						
Sample number		22-498			22-499	
Sample period (hours)		11:26 – 11:56			12:02 – 12:32	
Analysis date		24/03/2022			24/03/2022	
Compound		Concentration (mg/m³)	Mass rat (g/min)	te	Concentration (mg/m³)	Mass rate (g/min)
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene ^E		0.065	0.0063		0.11	0.011
1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene ^E		0.026	0.0025		0.046	0.0045
Ethylbenzene ^E		0.013	0.0013		0.065	0.0064
Isopropyl benzene ^E		<0.007	<0.0007		0.013	0.0013
n-Propylbenzene ^E		0.019	0.0019		0.039	0.0038
Toluene ^E		0.0065	0.00063		0.013	0.0013
m, p-Xylene ^E		0.071	0.0070		0.31	0.030



o-Xylene ^E	0.045	0.0044	0.11	0.011

Notes

A - Actual gas flowrate and velocity at stack gas temperature and pressure

B - Panel n-butanol detection threshold concentration in parts per billion by volume (ppb). Certified reference material n-butanol 61.6 ppm (Cylinder No. 438266; certificate date: 17/08/2021)

C - Wet gas basis.

D - Sample analyses conducted by SGS Australia Pty Ltd. Not covered by NATA accreditation. Report No. ME325839

E - Sample analyses conducted by SGS Australia Pty Ltd. NATA accreditation no.2562. Report No. ME325839 R0

Deviations from AS/NZS 4323.3: 2001 "Stationary Source Emissions – Part 3: Determination of Odour Concentration by Dynamic Olfactometry": Nil.

4.0 **DISCUSSION**

A summary of the results of the odour and neopentyl glycol emission results for the monitoring conducted at the RTO on the 18 March 2022 is presented in Table 3.

Contaminant	Average result	Inlet	Outlet
Odour	Concentration (ou)	10 000	1 700
	Mass rate (ou.m ³ /min)	1 000 000	170 000
Neopentyl glycol	Concentration (mg/m ³)	0.60	<0.02
	Mass rate (g/min)	0.059	<0.002
1,2,4 Trimethylbenzene	Concentration (mg/m ³)	7.3	0.088
	Mass rate (g/min)	0.71	0.0086
1,3,5 Trimethylbenzene	Concentration (mg/m ³)	3.2	0.036
	Mass rate (g/min)	0.32	0.0035
Ethylbenzene	Concentration (mg/m ³)	3.7	0.039
	Mass rate (g/min)	0.36	0.0038
Isopropyl benzene	Concentration (mg/m ³)	0.80	0.00080
	Mass rate (g/min)	0.078	0.0011
	Concentration (mg/m ³)	2.6	0.029
n-Propylbenzene	Mass rate (g/min)	0.25	0.0029
	Concentration (mg/m ³)	0.47	0.0097
Toluene	Mass rate (g/min)	0.046	0.00096
m,p-Xylene	Concentration (mg/m ³)	17	0.19

Table 3: Stack emission monitoring - Summary of results



Contaminant	Average result	Inlet	Outlet
	Mass rate (g/min)	1.6	0.019
o-Xylene	Concentration (mg/m ³)	6.7	0.078
	Mass rate (g/min)	0.66	0.0077

The measured inlet and outlet emission rates for the RTO are used to calculate the RTO's removal efficiency. The calculated average odour removal efficiency of the RTO is 84%. The calculated average neopentyl glycol removal efficiency of the RTO is 97%. The calculated average removal for VOCs is between 95% and 99%.

5.0 IMPORTANT INFORMATION

Your attention is drawn to the document titled - "Important Information Relating to this Report", which is included in Appendix A of this report. The statements presented in that document are intended to inform a reader of the report about its proper use. There are important limitations as to who can use the report and how it can be used. It is important that a reader of the report understands and has realistic expectations about those matters. The Important Information document does not alter the obligations Golder Associates has under the contract between it and its client.



Signature Page

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact us.

Golder Associates Pty Ltd

Dook

M.D. ful.

Dearne Cook Environmental Scientist

Mark Tulau Senior Environmental Scientist

DLC/MDT/dlc

A.B.N. 64 006 107 857

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation

APPENDIX A

Important information relating to this report



The document ("Report") to which this page is attached and which this page forms a part of, has been issued by Golder Associates Pty Ltd ("Golder") subject to the important limitations and other qualifications set out below.

This Report constitutes or is part of services ("Services") provided by Golder to its client ("Client") under and subject to a contract between Golder and its Client ("Contract"). The contents of this page are not intended to and do not alter Golder's obligations (including any limits on those obligations) to its Client under the Contract.

This Report is provided for use solely by Golder's Client and persons acting on the Client's behalf, such as its professional advisers. Golder is responsible only to its Client for this Report. Golder has no responsibility to any other person who relies or makes decisions based upon this Report or who makes any other use of this Report. Golder accepts no responsibility for any loss or damage suffered by any person other than its Client as a result of any reliance upon any part of this Report, decisions made based upon this Report or any other use of it.

This Report has been prepared in the context of the circumstances and purposes referred to in, or derived from, the Contract and Golder accepts no responsibility for use of the Report, in whole or in part, in any other context or circumstance or for any other purpose.

The scope of Golder's Services and the period of time they relate to are determined by the Contract and are subject to restrictions and limitations set out in the Contract. If a service or other work is not expressly referred to in this Report, do not assume that it has been provided or performed. If a matter is not addressed in this Report, do not assume that any determination has been made by Golder in regards to it.

At any location relevant to the Services conditions may exist which were not detected by Golder, in particular due to the specific scope of the investigation Golder has been engaged to undertake. Conditions can only be verified at the exact location of any tests undertaken. Variations in conditions may occur between tested locations and there may be conditions which have not been revealed by the investigation and which have not therefore been taken into account in this Report.

Golder accepts no responsibility for and makes no representation as to the accuracy or completeness of the information provided to it by or on behalf of the Client or sourced from any third party. Golder has assumed that such information is correct unless otherwise stated and no responsibility is accepted by Golder for incomplete or inaccurate data supplied by its Client or any other person for whom Golder is not responsible. Golder has not taken account of matters that may have existed when the Report was prepared but which were only later disclosed to Golder.

Having regard to the matters referred to in the previous paragraphs on this page in particular, carrying out the Services has allowed Golder to form no more than an opinion as to the actual conditions at any relevant location. That opinion is necessarily constrained by the extent of the information collected by Golder or otherwise made available to Golder. Further, the passage of time may affect the accuracy, applicability or usefulness of the opinions, assessments or other information in this Report. This Report is based upon the information and other circumstances that existed and were known to Golder when the Services were performed and this Report was prepared. Golder has not considered the effect of any possible future developments including physical changes to any relevant location or changes to any laws or regulations relevant to such location.

Where permitted by the Contract, Golder may have retained subconsultants affiliated with Golder to provide some or all of the Services. However, it is Golder which remains solely responsible for the Services and there is no legal recourse against any of Golder's affiliated companies or the employees, officers or directors of any of them.

By date, or revision, the Report supersedes any prior report or other document issued by Golder dealing with any matter that is addressed in the Report.

Any uncertainty as to the extent to which this Report can be used or relied upon in any respect should be referred to Golder for clarification





golder.com